

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,690	03/31/2004		Michio Kadota	36856.1238 1142	
	7590	01/27/2005	•	EXAM	INER
Keating & B 1400 Eaton Pl		P	DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M		
Suite 312				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fairfax, VA 22030				2834	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
·	10/813,690	KADOTA, MICHIO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas M. Dougherty	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 March 2004.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	•					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23-32 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers		ĺ					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	n)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/654,113. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)	or the certified copies flot received	J.					
I) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 404.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Application/Control Number: 10/813,690

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no proper antecedent basis for the new citation of the range of Euler angles of $(0^0, 136^0 \text{ to } 147^0, 0^0 \pm 5^0)$ in the case of an interdigital transducer of Cu. The range noted in the disclosure is from 125^0 to 137^0 .

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-20 have previously been allowed.

Claims 21 and 23-32 are newly allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the additional claims are allowable as they claim Euler angle ranges that are not shown nor taught by the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/813,690

Art Unit: 2834

Page 3

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

And tmd

December 13, 2004

HOMAS M. DOUGHERST PRIMARY EXAMINER